Symposium

Friday, 8 June 2018, 11:00-18:30, in English



IMAGE CREDIT © CLAUDIA PEPPEL, COLLAGE, 2015, (DETAIL)

Philological Time(s)

Organized by Daniel Hoffman-Schwartz and Zachary Sng

ICI Berlin Institute for Cultural Inquiry Christinenstr. 18/19, Haus 8 D-10119 Berlin Tel: +49 30 473 72 91 10 www.ici-berlin.org U-Bhf Senefelder Platz (U2)



Programme

11:00	Daniel Hoffman-Schwartz, Introduction
11:15 - 13:00	Zachary Sng, This is (Not) a Joint: Heraclitus, Hölderlin, Heidegger
	David Martyn, Grimm's Anachronisms
	Barbara Nagel, Versioning Violence: On Gender, Genetics, and Jealousy in Adalbert Stifter's Mappe
13:00 - 14:30	Lunch Break
14:30 – 16:00	Marcel Lepper, Time Zones and Clock Changes in Virginia Woolf's Orlando
	Elizabeth Bonapfel, Which Author, Which Text, Which Time, Which Reader? Examining Multiple Versions of James Joyce's Dubliners
16:00 - 16:30	Coffee Break
16:30 – 18:30	Christiane Frey, The Times of Omission: Heinrich von Kleist's 'Enigma'
	Tom Vandeputte, Verwandlungsgeschichte: <i>Benjamin's</i> Letter on Philology
	Daniel Reeve, Reading Again: Hermeneutics and Fore-knowledge in Boethius
18:30	Reception

Symposium Philological Time(s)

What is the time, are the times of philology? Whether grasped narrowly in the sense of textual criticism or more widely as a 'love of words', philology, underpinning all critical practices attentive to the materiality of language, demands to be thought in relation to time and temporality.

In the first instance, thinking time philologically entails thinking through the time of editions and emendations. Caught between at least two different times – the time of composition, with its generative openness; the time of edition, which pushes toward decision in the establishment of a definitive text – editions must negotiate temporal distance on various levels. Hence, they must decide how to deal with language and interpretations that have become antiquated or anachronistic; with references and allusions that have changed meaning or ceased to function altogether; with texts that anticipate and exploit their editorial 'afterlife' for their own purposes (deliberate use of archaism or anachronism; other ways in which the time of writing can reappear within or leave its mark on the text itself, including on the level of literary narration and literary temporality more generally). Finally, the time of philology includes the historicity of the practice of edition itself, such as changing conceptions of the 'oeuvre' and of its 'author', of emendation and readability, of the role and status of textual variants and of the degree of 'conclusiveness' editions should strive for.

Foregrounding the 'philia' in philology, we might also ask to what extent philology carries the potential to help us evade logocentric conceptions of error and correction as well as the teleological models of time they carry with them. Philological reading can thus engender another temporality, capacious enough to envelop the temporal operations sketched above, yet presenting an inclusive, radically non-progressive insistence: the time of staying with and returning to the text. Any attempt to think philological time must thus not only account for the dynamic time of the constitution (and de-constitution) of the text in its drafts and revisions, its afterlife and translations, but must also reckon with the time of reading itself. The symposium will address these and related issues in relation to literature and literary theory, philosophy, politics, and media studies.